# STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

DED ASTRONOMY TO A STATE OF THE	CONTACT PERSON					
Department of Fish and Game	TELEPHONE NUMBER list (916) 445-7600					
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	NOTICE FILE NUMBER					
Alaineda Creek Regulation amendments to sub	section (b)(1.5) of Section 7.50, Title 14, CCR	Z				
	ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT	•				
K ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS	(include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaki	ng record.)				
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whe	ether this regulation:					
a. Impacts businesses and/or employees e. Imposes reporting requirements						
b. Impacts small businesses frescriptive instead of performance						
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts indivi	duals .				
d. Impacts California competitiveness  h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)						
h. (cont.) The proposed fishing regu	lations are not anticipated to have negative eco	nomic impacts.				
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked,	complete this Economic Impact Statement.)					
2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	Describe the types of businesses (Inc	lude nonprofits.);				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses	impacted that are small husinesses					
<b>∄</b> :-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Enter the number of businesses that will be created:eliminated:						
Explain:						
Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:     .	tatewide Local or regional (List areas.):					
5. Enter the number of jobs created: or eliminated: Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted:						
Will the regulation affect the ability of California bush	nesses to compete with other states by making it more	costly to produce goods or services here?				
,	,					
Yes No If yes, explain	n briefly:					
		<i>5</i> .				
ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and assur	motions in the relementing record					
ECTIVIATED COSTO (Include Calculations and assur	inplicits in the fuleriaxing fecord.)					
. What are the total statewide dollar costs that busines	ses and individuals may incur to comply with this regu	lation over its lifetime? \$				
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:				
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:				
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:				
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:						

### ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

2. If multip	le industries are impacted, e	enter the share of total costs f	or each industry	/:			
**			•				
Sign				, 1 h	and the state of a second		
्रक्ष une re	agaistioti imboses rebotiing t	equirements, enter the annua	ii cosis a typica	a business may incur to cor	mpiy with these requi	iremenis. (includ	ie (ne dollar
costs to	o do programming, record ke	eping, reporting, and other pa	aperwork, wheth	ner or not the paperwork m	ust be submitted.): \$		
4 16201411			· — ,				
4. Will this	regulation directly impact he	ousing costs? Yes	☐ No	If yes, enter the annual	I dollar cost per hous	ing unit	and the
number	of units:						
F		D.v	. f	No. and to Otal and A			
o. Are there	e comparable Federal regula	ations? Yes	No Explain	the need for State regulation	on given the existence	ce or absence of	Federal
regulation	ons:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Fatau a	، حصادریا که مهدی ادستانالداد وید		b - dua ta 0	tuta. Cadaval differences.	rh.		
≝nter ar	ny additional costs to busine	sses and/or individuals that n	lay be due to S	tate - Pederal dillerences; ;	Φ	,	
	755 55155122 (5.1)	,				<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
C. ESTIMA	VIED BENEFITS (Estimation	of the dollar value of benefit	s is not specifica	ally required by rulemaking	law, but encouraged	1.)	
The state of the s	•						•
ैं; Briefly s	ummarize the benefits that m	nay result from this regulation	and who will be	enefit:			
<b>)</b>	`		• .				
漢		,		3	•		
- <del> </del>					:		
2. Are the	benefits the result of :	specific statutory requirem	ents. or $\square$ d	loals developed by the age	ency based on broad	statutory authori	tv?
-	_	<b></b>	٠, - ا	,,,,,,,,	,	,	
Explain:						·	
3. What are	the total statewide benefits	from this regulation over its li	fetime?\$	· · · ·			
D. ALTERN	IATIVES TO THE REGULAT	TION (Include calculations an	id assumptions	in the rulemaking record. E	Estimation of the dolla	ar value of benef	its is not
	required by rulemaking law,		•		·		
1 Liet alter	natives considered and desc	cribe them below. If no alterna	ativac wore con	sidered evoluin why not:		•	
r. List diteri	indures considered and desc	inc mon below. If no anome	MACO MOID COLIC	adered, explain why hou _			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
		•			•		, ; !: 
) ———							
2 Summari	ize the total statewide costs	and benefits from this regulat	ion and each al	ternative considered:		,	
	<b></b>	D 01.0					
થી. ક	Regulation:	Benefit: \$		Cost: \$			
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$		Cost: \$			
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$		Cost: \$	<u> </u>		
3. Briefiv dis	scuss any quantification issu	es that are relevant to a com	parison of estim	nated costs and benefits for	r this requiation or alt	ernatives:	
<b>, -</b>	, <b></b>		,		,		
-							
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4. Kulemak	king law requires agencies to	o consider performance stand	lards as an alte	rnative, if a regulation man	idates the use of spec	cific technologie:	s or
equipme	nt, or prescribes specific act	ions or procedures. Were per	formance stand	lards considered to lower o	compliance costs?	Yes	No
Explain:							
							<u> </u>
		alculations and assumptions ealth and Safety Code section		king record.) Cal/EPA bo	ards, offices, and de	epartments are	subject to the
Showing au	manual requirements per ne	and dalety code socilor	- 010001				
<i>}</i> ≟.			Page	2			
V-			90				

### ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

∯ W	ill the estimate	ed costs of this regulatio	n to California business enterp	rises exceed \$10 million?	Yes N	No (If No, skip the rest of this	section.)
2. B	riefly describe	each equally as an effe	ctive alternative, or combinatio	n of alternatives, for which	a cost-effectiveness	analysis was performed:	
Α	Iternative 1:_						
A	lternative 2:						
3. Fc	or the regulation	on, and each alternative	just described, enter the estima	ated total cost and overall	cost-effectiveness ratio	o:	
	egulation:	·	•		ness ratio: \$		
	Iternative 1:	\$	•	Cost-effectiver	ness ratio: \$	<u>-</u>	
A	Iternative 2:	\$		<del></del>	ness ratio: \$	,-	
					•		
j.			FISCAL IN	IPACT STATEMI	ENT	·	
		T ON LOCAL GOVERN	MENT (Indicate appropriate bo	exes1 through 6 and attack	h calculations and ass	umptions of fiscal impact for t	he current
<u> </u>		expenditures of approxim	ontoly ¢	in the gurrant State Figure	Voer which are reimb	ursable by the State pursuant	+ + 4 =
1		•	fornia Constitution and Section				. (6
	a. is	provided in	, Budget Act o	of or Cha	pter	, Statutes of	
	b, wi	ill be requested in the	(FISCAL YEAR)	_Governor's Budget for a	ppropriation in Budget	: Act of	
_							
2		xpenditures of approxim				mbursable by the State pursu	ant to
	Section 6 of	Article XIII B of the Call	fornia Constitution and Section	s 17500 et seq. of the Gov	remment Code becaus	se this regulation:	
	a, im	plements the Federal m	andate contained in				
•	<u></u>		late and foully but the		٠		i S
) Y			ate set forth by the				
r A	•	court in the case of			vs		
	c. im	plements a mandate of	the people of this State express	sed in their approval of Pro	oposition No	at the	
	ele	ection;				(DA	TE)
	d is i	ssued only in response t	o a specific request from the				
	. [] *. 10.1	oddd ciwy iir rooponoo i	e a oposino rodasse mom mo		which is/ara	the only local entity(s) affects	
					, which is/are	the only local entity(s) affects	su,
	□e wi	Il be fully financed from t	he	,		authorized b	ov Section
		" DO Tally Intelliged (10)		(FEES, REVENUE, ETC	:.)		., ====
		-	of the				Code;
	f pr	ovides for sevings to each	ch affected unit of local governr	nent which will at a minim	num, offset any addition	nal costs to each such unit:	
	г. ри	ovides iói savirids to eat	in allected drift of local governi	nent which will, at a thinkt	iuiti, oliset ariy additio	nai costs to each such atht,	3
;	g. cre	eates, eliminates, or cha	nges the penalty for a new crim	ne or infraction contained i	in		
							•
3.	Savings of	approximately \$	annually.				

No additional costs or savings because this regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

#### ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.					
6. Other.					
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations a year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	and assumptions of fiscal impact for the curren				
1 . Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is antic	cipated that State agencies will:				
a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.					
b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal year.					
2. Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.	·				
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	<b>\</b>				
4. Other.	, s				
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes1 through 4 and impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	d attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal				
	· .				
1 . Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
2. Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.	*				
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.					
4. Other.					
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE 10/12/10				
AGENCY SECRETARY 1 APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE  Jon K 1/3ch	12 October 2010				
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE				

- The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the
  impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest
  ranking official in the organization.
- 2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.